

An interaction between queing and change detection

LÁSZLÓ GERENCSÉR¹,
with C. Prosdocimi² and Zs. Vágó³

¹MTA SZTAKI, ²LUISS University, ³PPKE ITK

The Ninth International Conference on
Matrix-Analytic Methods in Stochastic Models

Budapest, June 28 - 30, 2016

THE DYNAMICS of a QUEUE

Consider a single server queue. Waiting time of the n -th customer: W_n .
The dynamics of W_n is given by a *non-linear system*:

$$W_n = (W_{n-1} + X_n)_+ \quad \text{with} \quad W_0 = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $X_n = V_{n-1} - U_n =$ service time minus interarrival time.

A system-theoretic point of view: (8) is not a stable system.

A similar non-linear dynamics arises in the theory of risk processes:

$$W_n^- = (W_{n-1}^- + X_n^-)_- \quad \text{with} \quad W_0^- = K > 0. \quad (2)$$

PROBABILISTIC STABILITY of a QUEUE

A standard assumption: assume i.i.d. inputs (X_n) , with $E(X_n) < 0$.

Markovian techniques: establish geometric ergodicity assuming

$$\mathbb{E}(\exp c'X_1) < 1 \quad \text{for some } c' > 0. \quad (3)$$

Strong LLN follows for functions of W_n . See Meyn & Tweedie.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Under what conditions for the inputs (X_n) can we ensure:

1. A strong LLN for the empirical tail probabilities:

$$\limsup_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}_{\{W_n > K\}} \leq \limsup_n P(W_n > K) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

2. Exponential decay of tail probabilities:

$$P(W_n > K) < Ce^{-cK}.$$

Technical kinship of the two problems.

CHANGE DETECTION

The problem: detect changes of **statistical patterns** of signals **in real time**.

Example: **monitoring EEG signals for epileptic patients**

See: V.Poor and O.Hadjiliadis (2009): Quickest Detection.

A CLASSIC PROBLEM

Given a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.-s Y_n with prob. density functions

$$f(y, \theta_0) \text{ for } n < \tau \text{ and } f(y, \theta_1) \text{ for } n \geq \tau.$$

Estimate

change point : τ

using observations (y_n) .

The Cumulative Sum (CUSUM) test or Page-Hinkley detector:

E.S. Page, Biometrika, 1954

D.V. Hinkley, J.Amer. Statist. Assoc., 1971

STATISTICS and IT

A modern interpretation, following J.Rissanen, 1989:

Encode data using the two possible models, following Inf.Thy.:

The quasi-optimal code-lengths are

$$-\log f(y_n, \theta_0) \quad \text{and} \quad -\log f(y_n, \theta_1).$$

The differences in code-lengths define the score

$$X_n = -\log f(y_n, \theta_0) + \log f(y_n, \theta_1).$$

Now the information inequality gives

$$\mathbb{E}X_n < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n < \tau \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}X_n > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq \tau.$$

THE CUSUM TEST for I.I.D. DATA

Let $S_0 = 0$ and let

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Then ES_n has a minimum at $\tau - 1$.

Task: approximate on-line minimization of S_n .

The CUSUM statistics or Page-Hinkley detector: define

$$g_n = S_n - \min_{0 \leq k \leq n} S_k.$$

Generate an alarm if $g_n > \delta$, with some fixed threshold $\delta > 0$.

FALSE ALARM RATE

Apply the Page-Hinkley detector to a process with no change at all.

A key performance characteristics: false alarm probability

$$\limsup_n P_{\theta_0}(g_n > \delta).$$

Practical relevance: false alarm rate (FAR) defined as a.s.

$$\limsup_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}_{\{g_n > \delta\}}.$$

Problem: find an upper bound for the FAR.

THE DYNAMICS of CUSUM

The dynamics of g_n is easily obtained as follows:

$$g_n = (g_{n-1} + X_n)_+ \quad \text{with} \quad g_0 = 0.$$

This establishes the link between queuing, W_n , and change detection, g_n .

Objective: bounding the empirical tail probabilities of g_n .

Two related technical problems:

- Exponential bounds for the tail probabilities of g_n .
- Mixing properties of g_n .

MOTIVATION for MIXING

Let (ν_n) be an \mathbb{R}^s -valued i.i.d. sequence of r.v.-s such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E} |\nu_n|^q < +\infty \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq q < \infty.$$

Let the $s \times s$ matrix A be stable, and define the filtered process

$$X_n = AX_{n-1} + \nu_n \quad \text{with } X_0 = 0.$$

Decompose (X_n) as

$$X_n = A^\tau X_{n-\tau} + \sum_{k=0}^{\tau-1} A^k \nu_{n-k}.$$

L-MIXING, I.

Definition

Let $X = (X_n)$ be a stochastic process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. X is M -bounded if for all $1 \leq q < +\infty$

$$M_q(X) := \sup_{n \geq 0} \|X_n\|_q < +\infty.$$

Let $\nu = (\nu_n)$ be an i.i.d. sequence, and define its **past and future** as

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\nu_k : k \leq n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_n^+ = \sigma(\nu_k : k \geq n+1).$$

Let $\tau > 0$ be an integer, a **fixed memory length**, and defined for $1 \leq q < +\infty$ the error of approximation **by the near past** as

$$\gamma_q(\tau, X) = \gamma_q(\tau) := \sup_{n \geq \tau} \|X_n - \mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-\tau}^+)\|_q.$$

L-MIXING, II.

Definition

A stochastic process $X = (X_n)$ is *L-mixing* w.r.t. $(\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^+)$ if it is adapted i.e. X_n is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable for all $n \geq 1$, X is M -bounded, and

$$\Gamma_q(X) := \sum_{\tau=0}^{+\infty} \gamma_q(\tau) < +\infty \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq q < +\infty.$$

Remark: we can also have $q = \infty$.

References:

Ljung, L.: Math. Programming, 1976.

LG: Stochastics, 1989.

THM: for I.I.D. SCORES g_n is L -MIXING

Assume $\mathbb{E}(X_1) < 0$, and also $\mathbb{E}(\exp cX_1) < \infty$ for some $c > 0$. Then

$$\mu := \mathbb{E}(\exp c'X_1) < 1 \quad \text{for some } c' > 0. \quad (4)$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_i | i \leq n)$ and $\mathcal{F}_n^+ := \sigma(X_i | i \geq n+1)$.

Theorem

Let (X_n) be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables such that (4) holds. Then the Page-Hinkley detector (g_n) is L -mixing with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^+)$.

LG and Prosdocimi, C. Systems & Control Letters, 2011.

EMPIRICAL TAIL PROBABILITIES

A known result in risk theory: for any c'' such that $0 < c'' < c'$, we have

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E} (\exp c'' g_n) < \infty. \quad (5)$$

Hence $P(g_n > \delta) \leq Ce^{-c''\delta}$ with some $0 < C < \infty$. Equivalently,

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbb{I}_{\{g_n > \delta\}} \leq Ce^{-c''\delta}.$$

Since (g_n) is L -mixing, by a strong LLN it follows that

$$\limsup_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}_{\{g_n > \delta\}} \leq C'e^{-c''\delta}.$$

DEPENDENT SCORES

The key technical condition to be established above: for any $c' > 0$

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \sum_{k=1}^n c' X_k \leq C e^{-c'' n}$$

with some $C, c'' > 0$. Writing the l.h.s. as

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c' (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_k) \right) \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c' \mathbb{E} X_k \right),$$

assuming $\mathbb{E} X_k \leq -\varepsilon$, it is sufficient to show that for small c' -s and all n :

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c' (X_k - \mathbb{E} X_k) \right) \leq e^{\kappa (c')^2 n},$$

with some $\kappa > 0$.

AN EXPONENTIAL MOMENT CONDITION

Let $X = (X_n)$ be a sequence of real-valued random variables.

Condition E. *There exist $c > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that for $0 \leq c' < c$ and all $1 \leq m \leq n$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(c' \sum_{k=m}^n (X_k - \mathbb{E}X_k) \right) \leq \exp (\kappa (c')^2 (n - m + 1)).$$

Objective: find useful conditions for the above inequality to hold.

I.I.D. REVISITED

Lemma

Let (X_n) be a zero-mean, i.i.d. sequence such that $\mathbb{E} e^{c|X_n|} < \infty$. Then Condition E is satisfied.

The proof is trivial, noting:

$$\mathbb{E} e^{c'X} \leq e^{\kappa(c')^2}.$$

for $|c'| \leq c$ with some $\kappa > 0$.

We get even more.

ANOTHER EXPONENTIAL MOMENT INEQUALITY

Let X be a two-sided i.i.d. sequence as above. Let $h = (h_k), k = 0, 1, \dots$ be an l_1 - sequence and define

$$Y_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k X_{n-k} \quad \text{in short} \quad Y = h \star X.$$

Write $\|h\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k^2$.

Theorem

Let $Y = (Y_n)$ be a as above. Then for $\|h\|_2 \leq c$

$$\mathbb{E} \exp(h \star X) \leq \exp(\kappa \|h\|_2^2).$$

A SECOND EXPONENTIAL MOMENT CONDITION

Let $X = (X_n)$ be a two-sided sequence of real-valued r.v.-s, $\mathbb{E}X_n = 0$.

Condition SE. There exist $c > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that for any $h \in l_1$ with $\|h\|_2 \leq c$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k X_{n-k} \right) \leq \exp \left(\kappa \|h\|_2^2 \right). \quad (6)$$

Note: if $X = (X_n)$ satisfies Condition SE and $g \in l_1$ then

$$Y = g \star X$$

also satisfies Condition SE. (Trivial). Example: $|X_n| \leq K$.

A NON-CONSTRUCTIVE EXAMPLE

Theorem

Let (X_n) be a zero-mean L -mixing process such that we have

$$M_\infty(X) < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_\infty(X) < +\infty. \quad (7)$$

Then for any deterministic sequence f_n

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f_k X_k - 2M_\infty(X)\Gamma_\infty(X) \sum_{k=1}^n f_k^2 \right) \leq 1.$$

It follows that Condition SE is satisfied.

LG: Stochastics and Stochastic Reports, 1991.

AN OPEN PROBLEM: SERIAL INTERCONNECTION

Let $X = (X_n)$ be a two-sided sequence of real-valued r.v.-s, satisfying $\mathbb{E}X_n \leq -\epsilon < 0$ and in addition Condition SE.

Consider a single server queue with waiting time denoted by W_n .

Let the dynamics of W_n is given by the non-linear system:

$$W_n = (W_{n-1} + X_n)_+ \quad \text{with} \quad W_0 = 0. \quad (8)$$

Under what conditions does (W_n) satisfy Condition SE ?

RECREATIONAL MATH

Establish stability properties of the Page-Hinkley-detector for *deterministic inputs*: assume that (X_n) is a deterministic sequence satisfying

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n < 0. \quad (9)$$

Let (g_n) be the response of the Page-Hinkley-detector driven by (X_n) . Does it follow that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N g_n < \infty? \quad (10)$$

THANK YOU for YOUR ATTENTION !